

BINGHAM McCUTCHEON

Joy K. Fuyuno  
 Direct Phone: (415) 393-2386  
 joy.fuyuno@bingham.com

November 17, 2005

**Via E-Filing**

The Honorable Marilyn H. Patel  
 United States District Court, Northern District of California  
 450 Golden Gate Avenue  
 Courtroom 15, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94102

Bingham McCutchen LLP  
 Three Embarcadero Center  
 San Francisco, CA  
 94111-4067

415.393.2000  
 415.393.2286 fax

bingham.com

Boston  
 Hartford  
 London  
 Los Angeles  
 New York  
 Orange County  
 San Francisco  
 Silicon Valley  
 Tokyo  
 Walnut Creek  
 Washington

**Re:** (1) *Lipton v. Intel Corp.*, No.C-05-2669-MHP; (2) *Prohias v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2699-MHP; (3) *Konieczka v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2700-MHP; (4) *Niehaus v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2720-MHP; (5) *Hamilton v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2721-MHP; (6) *Brauch v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2743-MHP; (7) *Baxley v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2758-MHP; (8) *Frazier v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2813-MHP; (9) *Dickerson v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2818-MHP; (10) *The Harman Press v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2823-MHP; (11) *Shanghai 1930 Restaurant v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2830-MHP; (12) *Benjamin Allanoff v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2834-MHP; (13) *Major League Softball, Inc. v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2831-MHP; (14) *Lazio Family Products v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2859-MHP; (15) *Law Offices Laurel Stanley & Wm. Cronin v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2858-MHP; (16) *Walker v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2882-MHP; (17) *Naigow v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2898-MHP; (18) *Stoltz v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2897-MHP; (19) *Hewson v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2916-MHP; (20) *Lang v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2957-MHP; (21) *Trotter-Vogel Realty, Inc. d/b/a Prudential California Realty v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3028-MHP; (22) *Juskiewicz v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3094-MHP; (23) *Uwakwe, d/b/a/ Tom Cyp Computers v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3197-MHP; (24) *Juan v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3271-MHP; (25) *Dressed to Kill Draperies, LLC, v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3272-MHP; (26) *Kinder v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3273-MHP; (27) *Rush v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3277-MHP

Dear Judge Patel:

Per the stipulations and orders entered in the above captioned cases,<sup>1</sup> I am writing to notify the Court of the MDL transfer order entered November 8, 2005, a copy of which is

---

<sup>1</sup> Orders were entered in most of the cases staying all dates and requiring notification to the Court of entry of the MDL decision. Stipulations staying all dates pending the MDL decision were filed in all cases except one (*Dickerson*), in which the parties agreed to the terms of the stipulation but which was not yet signed when the MDL decision issued.

Hon. Marilyn H. Patel  
 November 17, 2005  
 Page 2

attached. The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation has ordered that the following 10 actions originally filed in the Northern District of California against Intel Corporation be centralized under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 in the District of Delaware as MDL 1717 (*In re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation*):

(1) *Lipton v. Intel Corp.*, No.C-05-2669-MHP; (2) *Prohias v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2699-MHP; (3) *Konieczka v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2700-MHP; (4) *Niehaus v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2720-MHP; (5) *Hamilton v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2721-MHP; (6) *Brauch v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2743-MHP; (7) *Baxley v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2758-MHP; (8) *Frazier v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2813-MHP; (9) *Dickerson v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2818-MHP; and (10) *The Harman Press v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2823-MHP.

Tagalong notices have been filed for the following other 17 Northern District of California actions against Intel, for which conditional transfer orders should be issued soon:

(1) *Shanghai 1930 Restaurant v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05 2830-MHP; (2) *Benjamin Allanoff v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2834-MHP; (3) *Major League Softball, Inc. v. Intel Corp.*, No.C-05-2831-MHP; (4) *Lazio Family Products v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2859-MHP; (5) *Law Offices Laurel Stanley & Wm. Cronin v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2858-MHP; (6) *Walker v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2882-MHP; (7) *Naigow v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2898-MHP; (8) *Stoltz v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2897-MHP; (9) *Hewson v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2916-MHP; (10) *Lang v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-2957-MHP; (11) *Trotter-Vogel Realty, Inc. d/b/a Prudential California Realty v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3028-MHP; (12) *Juskiewicz v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3094-MHP; (13) *Uwakwe, d/b/a Tom Cyp Computers v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3197-MHP; (14) *Juan v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3271-MHP; (15) *Dressed to Kill Draperies, LLC, v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3272-MHP; (16) *Kinder v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3273-MHP; (17) *Rush v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-05-3277-MHP.

It is our understanding that the plaintiffs in these tagalong actions will not contest the conditional transfer orders.

Respectfully submitted,

  
 Joy K. Fuyuno  
 Counsel for Intel Corporation

Attachment